

Uniqueness Theorem for Static Dilaton $U(1)^N$ Black Holes

Marek Rogatko
Institute of Physics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
20-031 Lublin, pl.Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, Poland
rogat@tytan.umcs.lublin.pl
rogat@kft.umcs.lublin.pl
(February 1, 2008)

A sigma model formulation for static dilaton black holes with N Abelian gauge fields was presented. We proved uniqueness of static asymptotically flat spacetimes with non-degenerate black holes for the considered theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, much effort is being devoted to the study of mathematical topics related to the black hole equilibrium states. The pioneering investigations in the subject was begun by Israel's researches [1,2]. It follows that Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström (RN) solutions are only Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell (EM) (non-extreme) solutions that satisfy the conditions of being static black hole metrics. Then, Müller zum Hagen *et al.* [3] and Robinson [4] presented the generalization of Israel's theorems.

Different approach was proposed by Bunting and Masood-ul-Alam [21] who looked for conformal transformations on a spacelike hypersurface. Next, the positive mass theorem [6,7] enables them to draw conclusions that the considered hypersurface is conformally flat. Ruback [8] and Masood-ul-Alam [9] extended this method to EM system, while Heusler [10] comprised the magnetically charged RN solution. Chruściel [11] has finished the classification of static vacuum black holes. He removed the condition of non-degeneracy of the event horizon and showed that Schwarzschild black hole exhausted the family of all appropriately regular black hole spacetimes. He also revealed [12] that RN solution comprised the family of regular black hole spacetimes under the restrictive condition that all degenerate components of black hole horizon carried a charge of the same sign.

The turning point for establishing the uniqueness of stationary and axisymmetric black hole spacetimes being the solution of vacuum Einstein equations were achieved by Carter [13,14], Robinson [15] and Wald [16]. The systematic way of obtaining the desire results in electromagnetic case was conceived by Mazur [17–20] and Bunting [21]. In Mazur's approach the key observation was that the Ernst equations depicted a sigma model on a symmetric space, while Bunting's approach was based on using a general class of harmonic mapping between Riemannian manifolds. Considering $(n - 1)$ Abelian gauge charged Kerr black hole Gürses [22] found that it was a unique stationary black hole solution of Einstein-Abelian gauge field equations. For a review of the uniqueness of black hole solutions story see, for example [20] and [23].

Nowadays, there has been an active period of constructing black hole solutions in the string theories (see [24] and references therein). In [25] Masood-ul-Alam strengthened the method used in [5] to the case of Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton (EMD) black holes. Using a sigma model formulation of equations of motion for static dilaton black hole

Gürses *et al.* [26] presented an alternative proof of the uniqueness of a static charged dilaton black holes. Uniqueness theorems for black holes are closely related to the problem of staticity. In the low-energy limit of the heterotic string theory it was studied in [27,28]. In [29] uniqueness theorem for static dilaton $U(1)^2$ black holes being the solution of $N = 4, d = 4$ supergravity was presented. Black hole solutions were considered both in $SU(4)$ and $SO(4)$ versions of the underlying theory. Recently, Mars *et al.* [30], using the *conformal positive mass theorem* [31] were able to extend the uniqueness proof of dilaton black holes. The extension was valid for non-vanishing magnetic and electric charge, when the coupling constant was $\alpha = 1$, or for arbitrary coupling constant but either vanishing magnetic or electric charge.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section II we consider static axially symmetric solution of EMD gravity with N -gauge Abelian fields. Each of the gauge potential one-form is in the direction of time and in the azimuthal angle. By means of the introduced pseudopotentials we arranged equations of motion in two complex Ernst-like relations. Using the way of proving uniqueness of solutions for non-linear sigma-models devised by Mazur [17,18,20] we established that two solutions of the boundary value problem were equal if they were subject to the same set of boundary and regularity conditions. As an example we showed the uniqueness of Einstein-Maxwell-axion-dilaton (EMAD) gravity black holes with axion fields being trivial. In section III we concluded our results.

II. UNIQUENESS THEOREM

We shall consider the effective action of the low-energy string theory, Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity which contains scalar field (dilaton) ϕ , Abelian gauge fields $A_\mu^{(i)}$, where $i = 1, \dots, N$ and the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. For the sake of generality we shall prefer to leave the arbitrary number of vector fields (see, e.g., [32,27,33]). The action is of the form

$$I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - 2(\nabla\phi)^2 - e^{-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^N F_{\alpha\beta(i)} F^{\alpha\beta(i)} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the strength of the i -th gauge field is described by $F_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} = 2\nabla_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}^{(i)}$. The resulting equations of motion, derived from the variational principle yield

$$R_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(2F_{\mu\rho(i)} F_\nu^{\rho(i)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F^{(i)2} \right) + 2\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^N F^{(i)2} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \left(e^{-2\phi} F^{\mu\nu(i)} \right) = 0. \quad (4)$$

We introduce the static axially symmetric line element expressed as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\psi} dt^2 + e^{-2\psi} \left[e^{2\gamma} (dr^2 + dz^2) + r^2 d\phi^2 \right], \quad (5)$$

where ψ and γ depended only on r and z coordinates. The components of each gauge potential one-form $A^{(i)}$ will be in the direction of time and in the azimuthal angle, namely

$$A^{(i)} = A_0^{(i)} dt + A_\phi^{(i)} d\phi. \quad (6)$$

They were also assumed to depend only on r and z coordinates. The resulting Eqs. of motion imply

$$\nabla^2 \psi - e^{-2\psi-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(A_{0,r}^{(i)2} + A_{0,z}^{(i)2} \right) - \frac{e^{2\psi-2\phi}}{r^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(A_{\phi,r}^{(i)2} + A_{\phi,z}^{(i)2} \right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi - e^{-2\psi-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(A_{0,r}^{(i)2} + A_{0,z}^{(i)2} \right) + \frac{e^{2\psi-2\phi}}{r^2} \left(A_{\phi,r}^{(i)2} + A_{\phi,z}^{(i)2} \right) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla_r \left(r e^{-2\psi-2\phi} A_{0,r}^{(i)} \right) + \nabla_z \left(r e^{-2\psi-2\phi} A_{0,z}^{(i)} \right) = 0. \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla_r \left(\frac{e^{2\psi-2\phi}}{r} A_{\phi,r}^{(i)} \right) + \nabla_z \left(\frac{e^{2\psi-2\phi}}{r} A_{\phi,z}^{(i)} \right) = 0. \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{,z}}{r} - 2\psi_{,r}\psi_{,z} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-2e^{-2\psi-2\phi} A_{0,r}^{(i)} A_{(i)0,z} + \frac{2}{r^2} e^{2\psi-2\phi} A_{(i)\phi,r} A_{\phi,z}^{(i)} \right) + 2\phi_{,r}\phi_{,z}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(e^{-2\psi-2\phi} \left(A_{0,r}^{(i)2} - A_{0,z}^{(i)2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} e^{2\psi-2\phi} \left(A_{\phi,r}^{(i)2} - A_{\phi,z}^{(i)2} \right) \right) + (\phi_{,z}^2 - \phi_{,r}^2) = \psi_{,r}^2 - \psi_{,z}^2 - \frac{\gamma_{,r}}{r}, \quad (12)$$

Because of the fact that γ may be determined if we know $\psi, \phi, A_0^{(i)}$ and $A_\phi^{(i)}$, the essential part of the above system consists of equations (7-10). Let us define the quantities

$$E = -\phi - \psi, \quad B + \ln r = \psi - \phi, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\tilde{A}_0^{(i)} = \sqrt{2} A_0^{(i)}, \quad \tilde{A}_\phi^{(i)} = \sqrt{2} A_\phi^{(i)}. \quad (14)$$

Having in mind (13) and (14) we can combine relations (7) and (8) as follows:

$$\nabla^2 E + e^{2E} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \tilde{A}_0^{(i)} \nabla \tilde{A}_0^{(i)} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\nabla^2 B - e^{2B} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi^{(i)} \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi^{(i)} = 0, \quad (16)$$

Inspection of (9) enables us to define a pseudopotential $\omega_{(i)}$ in the form

$$\omega_{(i)r} = r e^{2E} \tilde{A}_{0,z}^{(i)}, \quad \omega_{(i)z} = -r e^{2E} \tilde{A}_{0,r}^{(i)}. \quad (17)$$

Our main aim is to rewrite relations (15-16) in the forms similar to the Ernst's equations. In order to do so we introduce the complex functions defined as:

$$\epsilon_{1(i)} = (r e^E)_{(i)} + i \sqrt{N} \omega_{(i)}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{2(i)} = (e^{-B})_{(i)} + i\sqrt{N}\tilde{A}_{\phi(i)}. \quad (19)$$

Now equations (15)-(16) and (9)-(10) can be arranged into two complex, Ernst like relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\epsilon_{1(i)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{1(i)} \right) \nabla^2 \epsilon_1^{(i)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \epsilon_{1(i)} \nabla \epsilon_1^{(i)}, \quad (20)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\epsilon_{2(i)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{2(i)} \right) \nabla^2 \epsilon_2^{(i)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \epsilon_{2(i)} \nabla \epsilon_2^{(i)}. \quad (21)$$

Equations (20) and (21) can be obtained by the variation of the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\nabla \epsilon_{m(i)} \nabla \bar{\epsilon}_m^{(i)}}{(\epsilon_{m(i)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{m(i)})^2}, \quad (22)$$

where $m = 1, 2$. We can change the formulation of the system by the homographic change of the variables we should have in mind that everything what will follow will be valid for both $\epsilon_{1(i)}$ and $\epsilon_{2(i)}$. Therefore from this stage on for the simplicity of notation we shall drop the index 1 and 2. Consistently with this remark, we have

$$\epsilon_{(i)} = \frac{\xi_i - 1}{\xi_i + 1}, \quad (23)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, N$. The Lagrangian density can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\nabla \xi_i \nabla \bar{\xi}^i}{(\xi_i \bar{\xi}^i - 1)^2}. \quad (24)$$

The requirement of the positivity of $(\epsilon_{(i)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{(i)})^2$ implies that $\xi_i \bar{\xi}^i - 1 > 0$, and the Lagrangian density defines the Bergmann metric $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ on the bounded symmetric domain in C^N . The metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - z_\alpha \bar{z}^{\bar{\alpha}})^2} dz_\alpha d\bar{z}^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (25)$$

where $z_\alpha = \xi_\alpha$. Defining new variables $\xi_a = v_a/v_0$, where $a = 1, \dots, N$ we can easily rewrite $\mathcal{L}$ in the following form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{|\nabla v_a v_0 - (\nabla v_0) v_a|^2}{(v_a \bar{v}^a - v_0 \bar{v}^0)^2} \quad (26)$$

The above Lagrangian density takes the manifestly $SU(N, 1)$ invariant form described as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = - \frac{\left[\bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha (\nabla \bar{v}^\beta \nabla v_\beta) - \bar{v}^\alpha \nabla v_\alpha (\nabla \bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha) \right]}{(\bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha)^2}, \quad (27)$$

where $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, -1)$. A complex vector v_α determines the projector [17]

$$P_\alpha{}^\beta = \frac{v_\alpha \bar{v}^\beta}{\bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha}, \quad (28)$$

possessing the following properties:

$$Tr P = \mathbf{1}, \quad P^2 = P, \quad \left(\eta_{\alpha\beta} P^{\alpha\beta} \right)^\dagger = \eta_{\alpha\beta} P^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit matrix. Definition of P_α^β enables one to prove the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla P_{\alpha\beta} \nabla P^{\alpha\beta} \right) = \frac{1}{(\bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha)^2} \left[\bar{v}^\alpha v_\alpha \nabla \bar{v}^\gamma \nabla v_\gamma - \bar{v}^\delta \nabla v_\delta \nabla \bar{v}^\beta v_\beta \right]. \quad (30)$$

Let us introduce next a matrix $\Phi = \mathbf{1} - 2P$. One can verify the following relation:

$$\frac{1}{2} Tr(\nabla \Phi \nabla \Phi^{-1}) = 2 Tr(\nabla P \nabla P). \quad (31)$$

The matrix Φ is positive and hermitian. Defining the quantity $j_\mu = \nabla_\mu \Phi \Phi^{-1}$ one can see that the Lagrangian density may be written as $\mathcal{L} = -1/8 j_\mu j^\mu$. The Eqs. of motion for sigma-model are of the form

$$\nabla_\mu j^\mu = 0. \quad (32)$$

Using the definition of ξ_α one can write $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ in the Ernst's parameterization as follows:

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2\xi_\alpha \bar{\xi}_\beta}{\bar{\xi}_\alpha \xi_\alpha}. \quad (33)$$

In particular for $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ we have

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \bar{\xi}^i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \bar{\xi}^i & 2\bar{\xi}_1 & 2\bar{\xi}_2 & \dots & 2\bar{\xi}_N \\ 2\xi_1 & 1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 - \sum_{i=2}^N \xi_i \bar{\xi}^i & 2\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2 & \dots & 2\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2\xi_N & 2\xi_N \bar{\xi}_1 & \dots & \dots & 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \xi_i \bar{\xi}^i + \xi_N \bar{\xi}^N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The most difficult part in proving the uniqueness theorem is to show that two solutions of the boundary value problem are equal if they are subject to the same set of boundary and regularity conditions. We shall follow the method used for the first time in [17] to prove the above. To establish the uniqueness of solutions of the Ernst equations (20) and (21) we have to calculate the exact form of $Tr(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^{-1})$ in terms of $E_{1,2}, \omega_{(i)1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}, \tilde{A}_{\phi(i)1,2}$. After a straightforward calculations one finds, respectively,

$$q(E, \omega_{(i)}) = Tr(\Phi(E, \omega)_1 \Phi(E, \omega)_2^{-1}) = \eta_\alpha^\alpha + \frac{1}{r^2 e^{E_1 + E_2}} \left[(\omega_{(i)1} - \omega_{(i)2}) (\omega_1^{(i)} - \omega_2^{(i)}) + r^2 (e^{E_1} - e^{E_2})^2 \right], \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(B, \tilde{A}_{\phi(i)}) &= Tr(\Phi(B, \tilde{A}_\phi)_1 \Phi(B, \tilde{A}_\phi)_2^{-1}) = \\ &= \eta_\alpha^\alpha + \frac{1}{e^{-(B_1 + B_2)}} \left[(\tilde{A}_{\phi(i)1} - \tilde{A}_{\phi(i)2}) (\tilde{A}_{\phi 1}^{(i)} - \tilde{A}_{\phi 2}^{(i)}) + (e^{-B_1} - e^{-B_2})^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Let us now proceed to the uniqueness proof.

To prove the uniqueness let us consider two solutions to the σ -model equations, i.e., Φ_1 and Φ_2 being subject to the same boundary conditions. Next, define $\Phi = \Phi_1 \Phi_2^{-1}$ and evaluate the Laplacian, and take the trace of this relation.

One obtains a relation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Tr\left(\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\Phi\right) + Tr\left[\Phi\left(\nabla_\mu(j^{\mu(2)}) - \nabla_\mu(j^{\mu(1)})\right)\right] = \\ Tr\left[\Phi\left(j_\alpha^{(1)}j^{\alpha(1)} + j_\alpha^{(2)}j^{\alpha(2)} - 2j_\alpha^{(2)}j^{\alpha(1)}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $j_\alpha^{(i)} = \nabla_\alpha\Phi^{(i)}\Phi^{(i)-1}$. Having in mind the fact that Φ is a Hermitian positive definite matrix we can decompose $\Phi = gg^\dagger$, where $g \in SU(N, 1)$, one can find that the right-hand side of (37) can be rearrange in the form $RHS = Tr(AA^\dagger) \geq 0$, where $A = g_2^{-1}(j^{(1)} - j^{(2)})g_1$ [17].

Applying Stoke's theorem to Eq.(37) one obtains

$$\int_S dS \, Tr\left[\Phi(\nabla_\mu j^{\mu(2)} - \nabla_\mu j^{\mu(1)})\right] + \int_{\partial S} dS^\mu \nabla_\mu (Tr\Phi) = \int_S dS \, Tr(A_\mu A^{\dagger\mu}) \geq 0, \quad (38)$$

where dS^μ denotes the components of the metric normal surface element.

The above identity serves to complete the proof of uniqueness theorem. The first term on the left-hand side of (38) vanishes identically because of fulfilling equations of motion on the boundary ∂S . The second term vanishes if the boundary conditions are taken into account. On the other hand, the right-hand side integral is non-negative. Since the left-hand side integral over the region S vanishes, then the right-hand side of relation (38) must vanish identically on the solutions Φ_1 and Φ_2 . Thus vanishing of the right-hand side implies that $Tr\Phi = const$ and $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$. In our case from (35) and (37) $const = \eta_\alpha^\alpha = N$. We arrive at the result:

Theorem:

Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be the solution of sigma model equations on $SU(N, 1)/S(U(N) \times U(1))$ with two-dimensional base manifold satisfying the equations of motion for sigma model $\nabla_\mu j^\mu = 0$. Moreover, let $\nabla_\mu(Tr\Phi) = 0$, where $\Phi = \Phi_1\Phi_2^{-1}$ on the boundary ∂S of the region S . Then, $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ in all region S provided that $\Phi_1(p) = \Phi_2(p)$ for at least one point $p \in S$.

In [29] we considered black hole solutions in which each gauge field has either electric or magnetic charge. The above proof enables us to generalize the uniqueness of black solutions to solutions in which both gauge fields have electric and magnetic charge (this is $N = 2$ case in the present derivation). As an example one can consider black holes appearing in the doubly charged electro-magnetic solution of EMAD gravity with axion field being trivial [34]. The effective action for this theory is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{EMAD} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - 2(\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{4\phi}(\nabla a)^2 - e^{-2\phi}(F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} + G_{\alpha\beta}G^{\alpha\beta}) \right. \\ \left. + a(F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} * G^{\mu\nu}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha\beta}$ are two $U(1)$ fields and the dual of an antisymmetric tensor is defined as $*F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$ for $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and in the same manner for $G_{\alpha\beta}$.

Equations of motion yield

$$\nabla^2\phi - \frac{1}{2}e^{4\phi}(\nabla a)^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi}(F^2 + G^2) = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\nabla_\mu * F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu * G^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\nabla_\mu(e^{-2\phi}F^{\mu\nu} + a * F^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\nabla_\mu (e^{-2\phi} G^{\mu\nu} + a * G^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\nabla_\mu (e^{4\phi} \nabla^\mu a) - (F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} * G^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\phi} \left[(2F_{\mu\rho} F_\nu{}^\rho - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F^2) + (2G_{\mu\rho} G_\nu{}^\rho - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G^2) \right] + 2\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} e^{4\phi} \nabla_\mu a \nabla_\nu a. \quad (45)$$

Having in mind equations of motion derived from (39) we see that this is the case of two gauge one-form potentials $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ corresponds to the case when axion field is equal to zero. We remark that our proof comprises also the case studied by Ortin [34], when axion field is trivial (being constant). But in this case the auxiliary condition appears, namely $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} * G^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In our work we have studied EMD gravity with N gauge fields, being the generalization of the simple EMD gravity with one gauge field widely studied in literature. We were interested in uniqueness theorem for black hole solutions in the considered theory. It was assumed that the gauge potential one-form $A^{(i)}$ has two components, in the direction of time and the azimuthal angle. Finding the adequate pseudopotentials we managed to assemble the equations of motion in two Ernst's like relations. Using the idea of Mazur [17] that these equations described a non-linear sigma model on the symmetric space we established the uniqueness of black hole solutions.

In [29] we considered black hole solutions where the gauge fields have either electric or magnetic charge. A natural generalization of these solution is to consider the case when the gauge fields have both electric and magnetic charges. The present proof enables one to treat this case and to find the uniqueness of such solutions .

On the other hand, when we consider the doubly charged black hole solutions in EMAD gravity with axion field being trivial [34], one should fulfill the auxiliary condition of the form $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} * G^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Then, for $N = 2$ one has the uniqueness of the black hole solution in this theory. Of course, the challenge is to prove the uniqueness theorem when axion fields are arbitrary. We hope to return to this problem elsewhere.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank the unknown referees for useful comments.

MR was supported in part by KBN grant 5 P03B 009 21.

-
- [1] Israel W 1967 Phys.Rev **164** 1776.
 - [2] Israel W 1968 Commun.Math.Phys **8** 245.
 - [3] Müller zum Hagen H, Robinson C. D, and Seifert H.J 1973 Gen.Rel.Grav. **4** 53,
Müller zum Hagen H, Robinson C.D, and Seifert H.J 1974 Gen.Rel.Grav. **5** 61.
 - [4] Robinson C.D 1977 Gen.Rel.Grav. **8** 695.
 - [5] Bunting G.L and Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1987 Gen.Rel.Grav. **19** 147.
 - [6] Schoen R and Yau S-T 1979 Commun.Math.Phys. **65** 45.
 - [7] Witten E 1981 Commun.Math.Phys. **80** 381.
 - [8] Ruback P 1988 Class.Quantum Grav. **5** L155.

- [9] Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1992 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **9** L53.
- [10] Heusler M 1994 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **11** L49.
- [11] Chruściel P.T 1999 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **16** 661.
- [12] Chruściel P.T 1999 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **16** 689.
- [13] Carter B 1973 in *Black Holes*, edited by DeWitt C and DeWitt B.S (Gordon and Breach, New York).
- [14] Carter B 1987 in *Gravitation and Astrophysics*, edited by Carter B and Hartle J.B (Plenum Press, New York).
- [15] Robinson C.D 1975 *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **34** 905.
- [16] Wald R.M 1971 *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **26** 1653.
- [17] Mazur P.O 1982 *J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.* **15** 3173.
- [18] Mazur P.O 1984 *Phys.Lett.A* **100** 341.
- [19] Mazur P.O 1984 *Gen.Rel.Grav* **16** 211.
- [20] Mazur P.O 2001 *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems Preprint* hep-th/0101012.
- [21] Bunting G.L 1983, PHD thesis, Univ.of New England, Armidale N.S.W.
- [22] Gürses M 1984 *Phys.Rev.D* **30** 486.
- [23] Heusler M 1997 *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [24] Youm D 1999 *Phys.Reports* **316** 1.
- [25] Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1993 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **10** 2649.
- [26] Gürses M and Sermutlu E 1995 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **12** 2799.
- [27] Rogatko M 1997 *Class.Quantum Grav.* **14** 2425.
- [28] Rogatko M 1998 *Phys.Rev.D* **58**044011.
- [29] Rogatko M 1999 *Phys.Rev.D* **59**104010.
- [30] Mars M and Simon W 2001 *On Uniqueness of Static Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton Black Holes Preprint* gr-qc/0105023.
- [31] Simon W 1999 *Lett.Math.Phys.* **50** 275.
- [32] Bergshoeff E, Kallosh R and Ortin T 1996 *Nucl.Phys.B* **478** 156.
- [33] Lozano-Tellechea E and Ortin T 2000 *Nucl.Phys.B* **569** 435.
- [34] Ortin T 1993 *Phys.Rev.D* **47** 3136.